IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

FREDERICK DIONTAE MORRO	
Petitioner	No. 3:06-0955 Judge Haynes Hus Nucleus The
) upon nucleu
v.) No. 3:06-0955
) Judge Haynes The Mother N
XXIAXXID DD AND ON XXI	6 ANTED. THE
WAYNE BRANDON, Warden	3/)
Respondent	Dorpees hour
PETITIONE	Soutees home 30 R'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER day & fell only
D	e) and Habeas Rule 11, petitioner Frederick Morrow Oddlhamuf
Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) and Habeas Rule 11, petitioner Frederick Morrow October 1
	er dismissing his petition under the statute of limitations.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· //
The Court did not address Morrow's a	rgument based on Jimenez v. Quartermaster, 129 S. Ct.
	LILAN
681 (2009), which has confirmed Mor	row's argument as to the date of finality. (R.42,
	No.
Petitioner's Supplemental Response to	Statute-of-Limitations Defense (explaining Jimenez's
	·

Dansu.

Factual Background1

support).) In light of *Jimenez*, this Court should vacate its order dismissing Morrow's petition.

Frederick Morrow appealed his felony-murder conviction to the Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals (TCCA) but was denied relief in December 1998. He was entitled to make a further appeal to the Tennessee Supreme Court through a Rule 11 application. His attorney, however, failed to file the Rule 11 application.

Morrow subsequently pursued state post-conviction relief. In those proceedings, he requested leave to file an out-of-time appeal in the form of a Rule 11 application. In April 2004, the post-conviction judge granted that request, allowing him to file the Rule 11 application.

¹Petitioner has supplied a detailed timeline of events in his Response to Statute-of-Limitations Defense, D.E. 24.